

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,453	10/714,453 11/14/2003		John Richard Myers	1031-15	9375	
25903	7590	09/07/2005	•	EXAMINER		
JACKIE J		VARTZ	HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN			
1350 Broad Suite 1510	iway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY 10	0018		3611		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/714,4	153	MYERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
	·	Gary C.	Hoge	3611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN ASSISTED AS A STATE OF THE MAN AS A STATE OF THE MAN ASSISTED AS	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e inication. utory period will apply and v rill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
2a) <u></u> □		b) This action is	non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			*			
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004	is/are: a)⊠ accept	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	•			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Offic	e Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
			, ,		l Ctoro			
	3. Copies of the certified copies o application from the Internation	•		ved III tilis Mational	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	· /	• • • •	ved				
								
Attachmen	No.\							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <i>8/4/04</i> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
		•	· — ——					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaffer (4,949,851).

See Figs. 1-4. Shaffer discloses a collapsible display, the display comprising a first portion 14 having a folded condition (Fig. 1) and an unfolded condition (Fig. 4); a second portion 18, the top section of which constitutes a display area; and at least one product supporting portion 20 pivotably connected between the first and second portions; wherein the second portion 18 is moveable relative to the first portion 14 between a first position (Fig. 1), in which the product supporting portion 20 is folded flat against the first portion 14 to allow folding of the first portion to the folded condition thereof, and a second position (Fig. 4), in which the product supporting portion 20 is adapted to support at least one product to be displayed and to retain the first portion 14 in the unfolded condition thereof, and all of the second portion 18 protrudes from the first portion.

Regarding claim 2, see Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 3, the second portion 18 is attached to the first portion 14 via product supporting portions 20 at locations remote from the top, display area, of second portion 18.

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 12, note tabs 22 and slots 24.

Regarding claim 6, slots 24 are integral with the first portion.

Regarding claim 8, slot 24 is an aperture that is engaged by a user to enable folding of the product supporting portion 20 against the first portion 14 by first removing tab 22 from the slot 24.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer (4,949,851) in view of Smith (3,987,737).

Shaffer discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. Such devices are typically constructed of cardboard, or like material, but Shaffer does not disclose a specific material for constructing the device. Therefore, it is not known whether the material around slot 24 is flexible. However, Smith teaches that it was known in the art to make a collapsible display

Application/Control Number: 10/714,453

Art Unit: 3611

adjacent thereto.

stand out of cardboard. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the collapsible display stand disclosed by Shaffer out of cardboard, as taught by Smith, in order to make a light, easy-to-handle structure. When constructed from cardboard, it is clear that the material around the slot 24, which is a retaining

member, is flexible, and is therefor "adapted to be displaced out of the plane" of the material

Page 4

6. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer (4,949,851) in view of Podergois (5,632,390).

Shaffer discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However,
Shaffer does not disclose a separate display member mounted to the first portion. Podergois
teaches that it was known in the art to provide a display stand with a header 19 spanning side
supports and displaying graphic information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary
skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the display stand disclosed by
Shaffer with a header, as taught by Podergois, in order to display graphic information. The
header would span the space between first portions 14.

Regarding claim 11, the first portions 14 retain the display member in position, and these portions are foldable.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3611

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C. Hoge whose telephone number is (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary C Hoge Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

gch